G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893-94
~~191~~.
(Murree)

File No. 265

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Renewal of leasing in the Gumbaz during Fatahas

PREVIOUS REFERENCES | LATER REFERENCES.

(General Serial No 29)

57

OFFICE OF
307
MYSORE
1893

From

The Town Magistrate & mch:
of Goomburz
Mysore.

To

The ~~Secretary to the Chief Commissioner~~
Muzrai superintendent
~~of Mysore.~~
in Mysore
Bangalore.

Dated 1st

Despatched 2nd October

Received 11-10-93

Enclosures No. 50

General No 86 / 1893
No

Sir,

His docket No: 146/114-92 dated 13th August/93 requesting to inform when the feedings of marginally noted 4 Hatahas stopped &c.

In reply to the above I have the honor to inform that the "Hatahas" alluded to were continued from the time the Goomburz Establishment was sanctioned and started, and ceased as to their due hereto-

Sarvile Bushanadeen ali Khan on the 4th day of Sutarum ——17——7——"
Syd Gani Bajgum Sahiba " 7th " " ——4——" ——"
Syd Guneu Nizamuddeen ali Khan " 26th " " Suffer ——17——7——"
Rokhia Bajgum Sahiba " 25th " " Jamadiagani——17——7——"

the Same No 8 12/10/93

are forthcoming in
from the year 1866
the year 1887 while Mr.
Rahiman was incharge
of the Goomluz put a stop
to the same, on the score
there was no provision
in the Govt. Proceedings
No. 3364-5/200 dated 7th August
1882. The Hatahas were -
however revived in 1891
by Mr. K. Mohamed ali
and the expenses were met
with from the Goomluz
savings. Again late Mr.
T. Raghvendra Rao stopped
the same on the authority
of Mr. Abdul Rahiman's

The Goomluz Nazim also
states in his report No. 286
dated 2nd Septr. 93, that the
cost of the Hatahas referred
to may be defrayed from

the savings of the other 5 Fatahas sanctioned viz: Dustagir, Raza Khadri, Rabi-alwal, Imam Hussen, & Ramzan, which I think is objectionable. They may be separately provided for if they are to be ~~sanctioned~~ revived on the ground that they were of long standing and not very expensive. They will surely please the large community of Mussalmans in Seringapatam and Mysore. The whole records available on the subject are herein enclosed for your information.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servt
Krishna Iyer
Town Magistrate &
Insch: of Goombuz Mysore



MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893-94

From

To

The Town Magistrate
— ,,— Comptroller

Dated 12" October 1893

Despatched 13"

Received

Enclosures No. 50.

General No. 398-9
Muzarai F. No. 114-92

According no sanction for the revival of feeding certain Fatahas in the Sumbers.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—26-9-93-1,000.

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No. 86 dated 1st Octr. /93 from the Town Magistrate, Mysore ~~in charge of Gumbuz at Srirangapatam~~, soliciting sanction for the revival of feeding on the Gumbuz account of the marginally noted 4 Hatakas, ~~also served in the Gumbuz~~.

12/13/10/9

398-9

Order thereon No. 114-92

As there is no provision in the Govt. Ord: No. 3344-5 200 dated 7th Augt. /82 for the performance of these Hatakas, sanction cannot be accorded thereto.

2. Mr. H. Mahomed Ali should not have revived them without the sanction of the Govt.

A.S.

Secy.

1. Nawab Burhanuddeen Ali Khan on the 4th day of Moharum.
2. Syedani Saigum Sahiba — do — do — do —
3. Prince Mirzamudeen Ali Khan — " — 2.6th — " — Gufoor.
4. Roshun Saigum Sahiba — " — 25th — " — Jamadiassam.

To The Town Magistrate, Mysore returning the enclosure